

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Dose-Dependent Inhibitory Effect of Ferulic Acid, A Dietary Antioxidant on Nicotine-Induced Tissue Oxidative Stress in Experimental Rats

ADLURI RAM SUDHEER, MARIMUTHU SRINIVASAN, NAGARAJAN DEVIPRIYA and
VENUGOPAL PADMANABHAN MENON

For author affiliations, see end of text.

Received November 20, 2006; Revised June 9, 2007; Accepted August 14, 2007

This paper is available online at <http://ijpt.iums.ac.ir>

ABSTRACT

The present study was aimed at elucidating the protective effect of ferulic acid (FA), a natural polyphenol, against nicotine-induced tissue damage, including damages to lung, liver and kidney of experimental rats. Female albino rats of Wistar stain were used for the experimental study. Lung toxicity was induced by subcutaneous injection of nicotine at a dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight (5 days a week, for 22 weeks) and FA was given simultaneously by intragastric intubations for 22 weeks. To establish the most effective protective support, we have used three different doses of FA (10, 20 and 40 mg/kg body weight). The levels of lipid peroxidative indices viz., thiobarbituric acid reactive substances and hydroperoxides, nitric oxide and protein carbonyl content in lung, liver and kidney of nicotine-treated rats increased significantly in nicotine-treated rats when compared to control, which were brought down to near normal in FA-treated groups. The body weight gain of rats and endogenous antioxidant status viz., superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase and reduced glutathione were found to be significantly decreased in lung, liver and kidney of nicotine-treated group, which were significantly increased in FA-administered groups. The dose 20 mg/kg body weight of FA was found to be more effective than the other two doses. Our data suggest that FA exerts its protective effect by modulating lipid peroxidation and augmenting antioxidant defense system in tissues.

Keywords: Antioxidants, Ferulic acid, Lipid peroxidation, Nicotine

Nicotine, an alkaloid composed of a pyridine and a pyrrolidine ring is found in the plant kingdom throughout a wide range of families [1, 2]. Tobacco abuse and nicotine replacement therapies are the main sources of human exposure to nicotine [3]. Oxidative stress in the cells or tissues refers to enhanced generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and/or depletion in antioxidant defense system causing an imbalance between pro-oxidants and antioxidants [4]. Nicotine is a potential oxidant and has been shown to induce free radical generation and lipid peroxidation, which cause severe damage to tissues [5]. In addition, nicotine has also been found to disturb the antioxidant defense mechanisms in rats fed a high fat diet [6].

Phenolic acids are naturally occurring polyphenolic compounds that are widely distributed in fruits, vegetables, whole grains and beverages such as red wine and tea. They attract special attention because they are consumed daily in considerable amounts and exhibit a wide variety of health-protective properties such as free radical scavenging, metal-chelation, modulation of enzymic activity and more recently, to effect signal transduction, activation of transcription factors and gene expression [7-10]. These facts have directed us to focus presently on investigating the antioxidant capability of ferulic acid (FA), a most abundant natural phenolic compound in fruits and grains, against nicotine-induced toxicity. Ferulic acid (3-methoxy-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid) is a phytochemical commonly found in fruits and vegetables such as tomatoes, sweet corn, and rice bran [11]. It arises from metabolism of phenylalanine and tyrosine by Shikimate pathway in plants. FA is a strong membrane antioxidant in humans and known to protect against cancer, cold, flu, influenza, skin aging and muscle wasting [12]. FA can be characterized as a natural antioxidant and a chemopreventive agent, as it has been reported to suppress experimental carcinogenesis in forestomach, lungs, skin, tongue and colon [12].

Table 1. Changes in the levels of body weight gain

S. No	Groups	Weight gain (g)
1	Control	150.5 ± 13.45a
2	Nicotine	59.33 ± 6.28 ^e
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	85.33 ± 5.93 ^d
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	134.66 ± 4.38 ^b
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	102.32 ± 5.32 ^c

Values are mean ± S.D from 6 rats in each group.

The data were compared by ANOVA followed DMRT. Values that are not sharing the common superscript differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 2a. Changes in the levels of TBARS in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^a)	Liver (U ^a)	Kidney (U ^a)
1	Control	0.63 ± 0.02 ^c	0.72 ± 0.02 ^e	0.45 ± 0.03 ^c
2	Nicotine	1.21 ± 0.02 ^a	1.13 ± 0.05 ^a	0.67 ± 0.03 ^a
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	0.96 ± 0.02 ^b	1.01 ± 0.05 ^b	0.62 ± 0.03 ^{ab}
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	0.72 ± 0.01 ^d	0.81 ± 0.04 ^d	0.49 ± 0.05 ^c
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	0.81 ± 0.03 ^c	0.93 ± 0.03 ^c	0.58 ± 0.01 ^b

Table 2b. Changes in the levels of HP in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^b)	Liver (U ^b)	Kidney (U ^b)
1	Control	51.21 ± 1.29 ^c	40.19 ± 0.81 ^e	32.28 ± 1.53 ^c
2	Nicotine	79.78 ± 0.82 ^a	65.44 ± 1.25 ^a	47.59 ± 1.15 ^a
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	71.87 ± 1.05 ^b	60.76 ± 1.81 ^b	45.81 ± 1.47 ^b
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	54.46 ± 1.13 ^d	44.30 ± 2.34 ^d	35.27 ± 1.38 ^d
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	62.82 ± 1.18 ^c	52.73 ± 1.83 ^c	40.94 ± 1.07 ^c

(U^a) and (U^b) - mmole/100 g tissue

Values are mean ± S.D from 6 rats in each group.

The data were compared by ANOVA followed DMRT. Values that are not sharing the common superscript differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$

FA is an effective scavenger of free radicals and it has been approved in certain countries as a food additive to prevent lipid peroxidation. Rukkumani et al. [13] have also reported that FA is an efficient hepatoprotective agent against alcohol and heated polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA)-induced liver damage.

Although the effect of FA on prooxidant/antioxidant status in circulation has been evaluated during nicotine-induced toxicity [14], this effect has been not evaluated on tissue oxidative stress. Hence, the present study was designed to examine the effect of FA on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status during nicotine-induced toxicity in lung, liver and kidney of Wistar rats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Maintenance of animals

Thirty female albino rats, Wistar strain of body weight ranging from 145-165g, were bred in the central Animal House, Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Tamil Nadu, India. The animals were housed in polypropylene cages (47 × 34 × 18 cm) in an air-conditioned room with controlled temperature (25 ± 2°C) and automatic

lighting (alternating 12 h periods of light and dark). The animals were fed on the standard pellet diet (Hindustan Lever Limited, Mumbai, India) and water was given ad libitum. The standard pellet diet comprised 21% protein, 5% lipids, 4% crude fiber, 8% ash, 1% calcium, 0.6% phosphorus, 3.4% glucose, 2% vitamins and 55% nitrogen free extract (carbohydrates). It produces a metabolisable energy of 3600 Kcal. The animals used in the present study were maintained in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council for Medical Research, Hyderabad, India and approved by the Animal Ethical Committee, Annamalai University (Reg. No.160/1999/CPSEA) (Approval number: 360)

Chemicals

Nicotine, FA and other fine chemicals were obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, USA. All the chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

Experimental induction of nicotine toxicity

Nicotine (2.5mg/kg body weight) was dissolved in physiological saline and the pH was adjusted to 7.2 with

Table 3. Changes in the levels of NO in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^a)	Liver (U ^a)	Kidney (U ^a)
1	Control	6.54 ± 0.60 ^c	10.43 ± 0.40 ^e	4.95 ± 0.50 ^e
2	Nicotine	12.46 ± 1.13 ^a	22.04 ± 2.04 ^a	8.03 ± 0.19 ^a
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	10.95 ± 0.82 ^b	19.59 ± 0.89 ^b	7.43 ± 0.41 ^b
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	7.11 ± 0.64 ^c	12.59 ± 1.32 ^d	5.18 ± 0.33 ^d
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	9.93 ± 0.78 ^b	15.76 ± 1.27 ^c	6.67 ± 0.42 ^c

(Ua)- x 10⁻³ μM of nitrite/mg protein

Values are mean ± S.D from 6 rats in each group.

The data were compared by ANOVA followed DMRT. Values that are not sharing the common superscript differ significantly at p≤0.05.

Table 4. Changes in the levels of PCC in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^a)	Liver (U ^a)	Kidney (U ^a)
1	Control	3.98 ± 0.13 ^d	4.51 ± 0.41 ^e	2.34 ± 0.24 ^e
2	Nicotine	10.64 ± 0.61 ^a	12.99 ± 0.64 ^a	8.43 ± 0.51 ^a
3	Nicotine + FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	8.55 ± 0.75 ^b	10.54 ± 0.80 ^b	7.11 ± 0.17 ^b
4	Nicotine + FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	4.66 ± 0.49 ^d	5.50 ± 0.42 ^d	3.57 ± 0.37 ^d
5	Nicotine + FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	7.48 ± 0.51 ^c	7.65 ± 0.45 ^c	6.16 ± 0.19 ^c

(Ua)- nmol/mg protein

Values are mean ± S.D from 6 rats in each group.

The data were compared by ANOVA followed DMRT. Values that are not sharing the common superscript differ significantly at p≤0.05.

sterile 0.1N HCl to ensure the stability of the chemical. (30 mg/kg body wt.). The lung, liver and kidney were
 Nicotine was injected subcutaneously for 5 days a week excised, blood was cleared off by several washings with
 for 22 weeks [15].

Treatment with ferulic acid

Ferulic acid was dissolved in water and administered (30 mg/kg body wt.). The lung, liver and kidney were
 to the rats through intragastric intubations at different (30 mg/kg body wt.). The lung, liver and kidney were
 doses - 10 mg/kg body weight, 20 mg/kg body weight, 40 mg/kg body weight daily for 22 weeks [14]. Most of
 the previous studies used 20 mg/kg body weight of FA total protein in the tissue extract was determined after
 against different pathological conditions, including al- trichloroacetic acid precipitation by the method of
 cohol and heated PUFA and CCL₄-induced toxicity in Lowry [18].

Wistar rats [16, 17]. So, we used this range of FA to fix

the effective dose. Daily FA was administered immediately after administration of nicotine at 10.00 am.

Experimental design

The animals were randomized into five groups of six animals each.

Group 1: Control (Received 1 ml of physiological saline per day, subcutaneously)

Group 2: Nicotine (Received subcutaneously at the dose of 2.5 mg/kg b.w for 22 weeks)

Group 3: Nicotine + FA (10 mg/kg b. wt., Given orally for 22 weeks)

Group 4: Nicotine + FA (20 mg/kg b. wt., Given orally for 22 weeks)

Group 5: Nicotine + FA (40 mg/kg b. wt., Given orally for 22 weeks)

Preparation of tissue homogenate

At the end of the experimental period of 22 weeks, the rats were kept fasting overnight and sacrificed by cervical dislocation after anaesthetizing the animals with intramuscular injections of ketamine hydrochloride

The extent of lipid peroxidation (LPO) was determined by analysing the levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) as described by Niehaus and Samuelsson [19], hydroperoxides (HP) as depicted by Jiang et al. [20], and nitric oxide (NO) based on work done by Lepovire et al. [21]. The protein carbonyl content (PCC) was determined by the method of Levine et al. [22].

Endogenous antioxidant status was evaluated by estimating the levels of reduced glutathione (GSH) by the method of Ellman's [23], the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD) by utilizing the technique of Kakkar et al. [24], catalase (CAT) activity by the method of Sinha [25] and the activity of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) by the method of Rotruck et al. [26].

Statistical analysis was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the groups were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) using SPSS Software Package, version 11.0. Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation in each

group. A difference with p value ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Body weight gain

Table 1 gives the changes in body weight gain. There was a significant decrease in the body weight of rats was of 145-160g. Our results showed a significant decrease in weight gain of nicotine-treated rats. Treatment with FA significantly improved the weight gain when compared to nicotine group. Treatment with 20mg/kg b. wt. was found to be more effective than 10 and 40mg/kg b. wt.

Extent of lipid peroxidation

As Table 2a and 2b show, the levels of lipid peroxidative markers- TBARS and HP were significantly increased in lung, liver and kidney of nicotine-treated group when compared to control. FA treatment effectively brought back the TBARS and HP levels to near normal, further middle dose showed better effect.

Levels of nitric oxide

As illustrated in Table 3, the NO levels were elevated in lung, liver and kidney of nicotine-treated animals, when compared to control group. The levels of NO were significantly decreased in all three tissues of FA-treated animals when compared to nicotine-treated animals. The effect of FA on NO was more prominent at 20mg/kg b.wt. concentration.

Protein carbonyl content levels

Table 4 depicts the Protein carbonyl content (PCC) levels in lung, liver and kidney of control and experimental rats. PCC levels were significantly elevated in lung, liver and kidney of nicotine-treated rats when compared with control. FA treatments effectively brought back the PCC levels to near normal in all the tissues, but middle dose was more promising.

Endogenous antioxidant status

Table 5 demonstrates the activities of SOD (Tab. 5a), CAT (Tab. 5b) and GPx (Tab. 5c) and levels of GSH (Tab. 5d) in lung, liver and kidney of control and experimental rats. The activities of SOD, CAT and GPx and levels of GSH were significantly decreased in all three tissues of nicotine-treated rats when compared to control. Treatment of FA at different doses effectively enhanced the antioxidant status in lung, liver and kidney when compared to nicotine-treated rats, but middle was (20 mg/kg b. wt.) more effective than other two doses.

DISCUSSION

Nicotine is known to cause severe damage to the tissues including lung, liver and kidney characterized by

inflammation and fibrosis. The generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has contributed to this injury, which could be partially prevented by antioxidants and free radical scavengers.

Effects of FA on the body weight gain

Nicotine, an active ingredient in tobacco is known to influence body weight. In our study, the initial body weight of rats was of 145-160g. Our results showed a significant decrease in weight gain of nicotine-treated rats when compared to control at the end of the experimental period. The weight loss during nicotine treatment may include one or more of the following reasons: (i) stimulation of the metabolic rate [27]; (ii) activation of lipoprotein lipase [28]; (iii) suppression of glycolysis [29]. Moreover, nicotine affects almost all organs of the body by its potent oxidant capacity, which in turn may reflect indirectly on the body weight gain [30].

Treatment with FA, especially 20 mg/kg body weight showed a significant improvement in body weight gain. This might be due to the antioxidant property of FA, which neutralizes free radicals, reduces the tissue damage and thus helps in the improvement of body weight. It has been reported that supplementation of FA in combination with weight training improves strength and increase the lean muscle mass [13].

Effects of FA on the lipid peroxidative index

The presence of oxidative stress in response to nicotine is supported by an increase in lipid peroxidation. In our study, we estimated the levels of lipid peroxidation in the lung, liver and kidney. The lung is primary organ exposed to cigarette smoke, the liver is the major metabolism site of nicotine, and the kidney is the organ that involves in the elimination of toxic nicotine metabolites. Nicotine increases the free radical production by various mechanisms. It has been reported that nicotine disrupts the mitochondrial respiratory chain leading to an increased generation of superoxide anions and hydrogen peroxide [31]. In addition free radicals might be generated through the increased activity of CYP450 enzymes during the intracellular metabolism of nicotine [32] leading to increased formation of lipid peroxidative products including TBARS and HP.

Administration of FA caused a significant decrease in the levels of TBARS and HP in the lung, liver and kidney of FA treated rats. It may be due to effective antioxidant property of FA. As shown in Figure 1, FA possesses distinct structural motifs that can possibly contribute to the antioxidant property of this compound. The presence of electron donating groups on the benzene ring [3-methoxy and more importantly 4-hydroxyl] of FA gives additional property for terminating free radical chain reaction. The next functionality – the carboxylic acid group in FA with adjacent unsaturated C=C double bond can provide additional attack sites for free radicals and thus prevent them from attacking the mem

Table 5a. Changes in the activities of SOD in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^a)	Liver (U ^a)	Kidney (U ^a)
1	Control	12.68 ± 0.74 ^a	9.38 ± 0.67 ^a	8.30 ± 0.40 ^a
2	Nicotine	6.27 ± 0.43 ^e	5.65 ± 0.35 ^d	4.47 ± 0.31 ^e
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	8.38 ± 0.64 ^d	6.64 ± 0.35 ^c	5.42 ± 0.42 ^d
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	11.68 ± 0.88 ^b	8.85 ± 0.68 ^a	7.50 ± 0.28 ^b
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	9.92 ± 0.65 ^c	7.31 ± 0.38 ^b	6.13 ± 0.25 ^c

Table 5b. Changes in the activities of CAT in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^b)	Liver (U ^b)	Kidney (U ^b)
1	Control	42.45 ± 1.58 ^a	75.38 ± 1.10 ^a	20.79 ± 1.23 ^a
2	Nicotine	24.80 ± 0.97 ^e	50.42 ± 1.56 ^e	10.33 ± 0.91 ^e
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	29.89 ± 1.30 ^d	55.33 ± 1.04 ^d	12.14 ± 0.97 ^d
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	40.20 ± 1.19 ^b	72.55 ± 1.43 ^b	18.36 ± 1.06 ^b
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	33.55 ± 1.14 ^c	63.27 ± 1.78 ^c	14.88 ± 1.03 ^c

Table 5c. Changes in the activities of GPx in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^c)	Liver (U ^c)	Kidney (U ^c)
1	Control	14.62 ± 0.56 ^a	10.52 ± 0.79 ^a	9.60 ± 0.32 ^a
2	Nicotine	8.66 ± 0.55 ^e	7.45 ± 0.31 ^e	5.62 ± 0.49 ^e
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	9.45 ± 0.36 ^d	9.05 ± 0.18 ^d	6.51 ± 0.39 ^d
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	12.65 ± 0.60 ^b	9.67 ± 0.38 ^b	8.55 ± 0.44 ^b
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	10.82 ± 0.40 ^c	8.16 ± 0.20 ^c	7.20 ± 0.28 ^c

Table 5d. Changes in the levels of GSH in lung, liver and kidney

S. No	Groups	Lung (U ^d)	Liver (U ^d)	Kidney (U ^d)
1	Control	119.65 ± 7.26 ^a	131.88 ± 6.35 ^a	95.81 ± 4.39 ^a
2	Nicotine	71.35 ± 2.85 ^e	81.82 ± 4.77 ^e	65.46 ± 3.4 ^d
3	Nicotine+ FA (10 mg/kg b.w)	81.39 ± 4.50 ^d	92.89 ± 4.02 ^d	73.05 ± 2.96 ^c
4	Nicotine+ FA (20 mg/kg b.w)	108.20 ± 7.30 ^b	122.40 ± 5.68 ^b	91.96 ± 4.77 ^a
5	Nicotine+ FA (40 mg/kg b.w)	98.54 ± 4.43 ^c	103.59 ± 3.98 ^c	81.93 ± 4.24 ^b

(U^a) – enzyme required for 50% inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium reduction/min/mg protein,

(U^b) - μmoles of hydrogen peroxide utilised/min/mg protein.

(U^c) - μmoles of GSH utilized/min/mg protein.

(U^d) – mg/100 g tissue

Values are mean ± S.D from 6 rats in each group.

The data were compared by ANOVA followed DMRT. Values that are not sharing the common superscript differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$

brane. In addition, the carboxylic acid group also acts as a powerful oxidant and nitrosating agent, which may provide some protection against lipid peroxidation. The presence of electron donating substituents enhances the antioxidant properties of FA [33]. Despite the direct scavenging of ROS, FA can chelate the ferrous ion and decreases the formation of hydroxyl radical via inhibition of iron-dependent Fenton's reaction [34]. Thus FA effectively quenches the free radicals, prevents them from attacking the membrane and thus protects the membrane. In our study, higher levels of nitric oxide were observed in nicotine treated rats. Nitric oxide is a Ca^{2+} calmodulin requiring enzyme. Ca^{2+} influx causes activation of nitric oxide synthase and thus produces high levels of nitric oxide [36]. Rosecrans et al., [37] have reported that nicotine cholinergic receptors activation by nicotine leads to enhanced entry of Ca^{2+} into the cell. Thus, by increasing the influx of Ca^{2+} , nicotine elevates the level of nitric oxide.

Effects of FA on nitric oxide

Nitric oxide, a highly reactive free radical is formed from L-arginine by nitric oxide synthase. NO in excess can cause organ damage either directly or by reacting with superoxide anion to yield peroxynitrate [35], a powerful oxidant and nitrosating agent, which may account for the increased toxicity in nicotine-treated rats. FA in nicotine treated rats showed significantly reduced levels of NO in lung, liver and kidney. It might be due to (i) electron-donating methoxy group, which has a greater ability to quench the unpaired electron of

NO in its outer shell orbital and (ii) also undergoing of GSH in the lung, liver and kidney. This may be nitration reaction due to the presence of an aromatic due to the antioxidant sparing action of FA. Being an ring there by preventing the peroxynitrite-mediated ni-effective antioxidant, FA has been reported to scavenge tration of amino acids [38]. Thus in our study, we found free radicals [46]. Reports have shown that FA normal-decreased levels of NO in FA treated group.

Effects of FA on protein carbonyl content

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitro-greater than that of vitamin C, a well-proved antioxi-gen species (RNS) are known to damage all types of antiant, against LDL-oxidation. Thus, in our study, FA by biological molecules including proteins [39]. An in-its antioxidant sparing action might have decreased the creased level of protein carbonyl groups was observed utilization of antioxidants and thus restored their activi-in lung, liver and kidney during nicotine treatment. ties in lung, liver and kidney.

Nicotine can oxidize amino acids of proteins forming

more number of carbonyl groups by oxidation proc-

ess with the evidence of high levels of carbonyl

groups. This damage may be because of increased

production of ROS and RNS by nicotine. Previous

reports have shown that ROS and RNS have capacity

to oxidize proteins by forming more number of car-

bonyl groups [40]

Treatment with FA caused a significant reduction in

the levels of protein carbonyl groups. FA has been re-

ported to scavenge ROS and RNS [41, 42 and 15] by

which it might reduce the attack of ROS and RNS on

amino acids and thus diminish the production of car-

bonyl groups.

Effects of FA on the endogenous antioxidant status

Humans have evolved a highly sophisticated and complex antioxidant protection system to protect the cells and organ systems of the body against ROS. To investigate the oxidative stress inducing action of nicotine, we have also quantified the degree of oxidative challenge by measuring both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants. The decreased activities of these enzymes in lung, liver and kidney reflect perturbations in normal oxidative mechanisms during nicotine ingestion. Husain *et al.*, [43] have also reported that chronic administration of ethanol and nicotine decreases the activities of GPx, SOD and CAT in the lung and kidney. Nicotine was reported to increase substantial amount of H₂O₂, which may be released into circulation and/or transferred to the kidney for detoxification [44]. The production of H₂O₂ by nicotine leads to oxidative damage to liver and kidney, which may be counteracted by SOD. The decreased activity of CAT is suggestive of enhanced synthesis of superoxide anion during the ingestion of nicotine since superoxide anion is a powerful inhibitor of CAT [44]. In our study, we found decreased activity of GPx in lung, liver and kidney during nicotine treatment. GPx scavenges and decomposes excess hydroperoxides, including H₂O₂, formed under oxidative stress. Previous reports have shown that chronic administration of ethanol and nicotine decreases the activities of GPx in lung and kidney [43]. Decreased GSH levels may be due to increased utilization of GSH to counteract the free radicals produced by nicotine. Previous reports have also suggested decreased level of GSH during nicotine induction in the tissues [45].

Administration of FA to nicotine treated rats in-

creased the activities of SOD, CAT and GPx and levels

Dose response protection of FA

In our study, the lower dosage 10mg/kg body weight was not effective, because its concentration might not be enough to compensate all free radicals generated by nicotine. The high dose 40 mg/kg body weight was not as effective as medium dose 20mg/kg body weight, because at higher concentration, FA might interact with

some ligands in the system and thus might not be completely available for quenching free radicals [16]. Based upon our results, FA at the dosage of 20 mg/kg body wt. could be an effective candidate against nicotine-induced oxidative stress.

CONCLUSION

The present findings demonstrate that FA could exert its protective effects against nicotine-induced toxicity through scavenging of free radicals and reactive oxygen species, thereby by inhibition of oxidation of lipids and proteins, and also improvement of endogenous antioxidant status. The protective effect appears to be dose-dependent. However, the mechanisms and probable mode of action of FA need to be studied in great detail.

REFERENCES

- Doolittle DJ, Winegar R, Lee CK, Caldwell WS, Hayes AW, deBethizy JD. The genotoxic potential of nicotine and its major metabolites. *Mutat Res* 1995;344:95-102.
- Schievelbein H. Nicotine, resorption and fate. *Pharm Ther* 1982;18:233-48.
- Doolittle DJ, Winegar R, Lee CK, Caldwell WS, Hayes AW, deBethizy JD. The genotoxic potential of nicotine and its major metabolites. *Mutat Res* 1995;344:95-102.
- Schievelbein H. Nicotine, resorption and fate. *Pharm Ther* 1982;18:233-48.
- Yildiz D, Ercal N, Armston DW. Nicotine enantiomers and oxidative stress. *Toxicology* 1998;130:155-65.
- Yildiz D. Nicotine, its metabolism and an overview of its biological effects. *Toxicon* 2004;43:619-32.
- Duthie GG, Duthie S, Kyle JAM. Plant polyphenols in cancer and heart disease: implications as nutritional antioxidants. *Nutr Res Rev* 2000;13:79-106.
- Prior RL, Cao GH. Antioxidant phytochemicals in fruits and vegetables: diet and health implications. *Hort Sci* 2000;35:588-92.
- Boyd-Kimball D, Sultana R, Poon HF, Mohammad-Abdul H, Lynn BC, Klein JB, Butterfield DA. Gamma-glutamylcysteine ethyl ester protection of proteins from Abeta(1-42)-mediated oxidative stress in neuronal cell culture: a proteomics approach. *J Neurosci Res* 2005;79:707-13.

- 424 10. Masumune A, Satoh M, Kikuta K, Suzuki N, Satoh K, Shimose-493
425 gawa T. Ellagic acid blocks activation of pancreatic stellate494
426 cells. *Biochem pharmacol* 2005;70:869-78 495 31.
- 427 11. Paganga G. The polyphenolic content of fruit and vegetables and496
428 their antioxidant activities. What does a serving constitute? *Free*497
429 *Radic Res* 1999;30:153-62. 498 32.
- 430 12. Murakami A, Nakamura Y, Koshimizu K, Takahashi D, Matsuo499
431 moto K, Hagihara K, Taniguchi H, Nomura E, Hosoda E, Tsuno500
432 T, Maruta Y, Kim HW, Kawabata K, Ohigashi H. FA 15, A hy-501
433 drophobic derivative of ferulic acid, suppresses inflammatory re-502 33.
434 sponses and skin tumor promotion: Comparison with ferulic503
435 acid. *Cancer Lett* 2002;180:121-9. 504
- 436 13. Rukkumani R, Aruna K, Varma PS, Menon VP. Influence of505
437 ferulic acid on circulatory prooxidant-antioxidant status during506 34.
438 alcohol and PUFA induced toxicity. *J Physiol Pharmacol*507
439 2004;55:551-61. 508
- 440 14. Sudheer AR, Kalpana C, Srinivasan M, Menon VP. Ferulic acid509
441 modulates altered lipid profiles and prooxidant/antioxidant status510 35.
442 in circulation during nicotine-induced toxicity: A dose depend-511
443 ent study. *Toxicol Mech Meth* 2005;15:375-81. 512
- 444 15. Kalpana C, Menon VP. Protective effect of Curcumin on circula-513 36.
445 tory lipid peroxidation and antioxidant status during nicotine-514
446 induced toxicity. *Toxicol Mech Meth* 2004;14:339-43. 515
- 447 16. Rukkumani R, Aruna K, Suresh VP, Menon VP. Hepatoprotec-516 37.
448 tive role of ferulic acid: A dose dependent study. *J Med Food*517
449 2004;7:456-61. 518
- 450 17. Srinivasan M, Rukkumani R, Sudheer AR, Menon VP. Ferulic519 38.
451 acid, a natural protector against carbon tetra chloride-induced520
452 toxicity. *Fun Clin Pharmacol* 2005;19:491-6. 521
- 453 18. Lowry OH, Rosebrough MJ, Farr L, Randall RJ. Protein meas-522
454 urement with the folin-phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem*523 39.
455 1951;93:464-78. 524
- 456 19. Niehaus WG, Samuelsson B. Formation of malondialdehyde525
457 from phospholipid arachidonate during microsomal lipid peroxi-526 40.
458 dation. *Eur J Biochem* 1968;6:126-30. 527
- 459 20. Jiang ZY, Hunt JY, Wolff SP. Detection of lipid hydroperoxides528 41.
460 using the 'fox method'. *Anal Biochem* 1992;202:384-9. 529
- 461 21. Lepovire M, Chenais B, Yapo A, Lemire G, Thelander L, Tenu530
462 JP. Alteration of ribonucleotide reductase activity following in-531
463 duction of nitrite generating pathway in adenocarcinoma cells. *J*532
464 *Biol Chem* 1990;6:126-30. 533 42.
- 465 22. Levine RL, Garland D, Oliver CN, Amici A, Climent I, Lenz534
466 AG, Ahn BW, Shalteil S, Stadtman ER. Determination of car-535
467 bonyl content in oxidatively modified proteins. *Method Enzymol*536 43.
468 1990;186:464-78. 537
- 469 23. Ellman GL. Tissue sulphhydryl groups. *Arch Biochem Biophys*538
470 1959;82:70-7. 539 44.
- 471 24. Kakkar P, Das B, Viswanathan PN. A modified spectropho-540
472 tometric assay of superoxide dismutase (SOD). *Indian J Bio-541
473 chem Biophys* 1984;21:130-2. 542 45.
- 474 25. Sinha KA. Colorimetric assay of catalase. *Anal Biochem*543
475 1972;47:389-94. 544
- 476 26. Rotruck JT, Pope AL, Ganther HE, Swanson AB, Hafeman DG,545 46.
477 Hoekstra WG. Selenium: Biochemical rates as a component of546
478 glutathione peroxidase. *Science* 1973; 179:588-90. 547
- 479 27. Frankish HM, Dryden S, Wang Q, Bing C, Mocfarlane IA, Wil-548
480 lians G. Nicotine administration reduces neuropeptide Y and549 47.
481 neuropeptide Y mRNA concentrations in the rat hypothalamus:550
482 NPY may mediate nicotine's effects on energy balance. *Brain*551
483 *Res* 1995;694:139-46. 552
- 484 28. Winders SE, Grunberg NE. Effects of nicotine on body weight553
485 food consumption and body composition in male rats. *Life Sci*554
486 1990;46:1523-30. 555
- 487 29. Maritz GS. Maternal nicotine exposure and carbohydrate me-556
488 tabolism of fetal and neonatal lung tissue: response to nicotine557
489 with drawl. *Respiration* 1987;51:232-40. 558
- 490 30. Fornari A, Pedrazzi P, Lippi G, Picciotto MR, Zoli M, Zini I.559
491 Nicotine withdrawal increases body weight, neuropeptide Y and560
492 Agouti-related protein expression in the hypothalamus and de-561
- creases uncoupling protein-3 expression in the brown adipose
tissue in high-fat fed mice. *Neurosci Lett* 2006. (In press)
- Gvozdzjakova A, Kucharska J, Gvozdzjak J. Effects of smoking
on the oxidative process of cardiomyocytes. *Cardiology*
1992;81:81-4.
- Benowitz NL, Lake T, Keller KH, Lee BL. Prolonged absorption
with development of tolerance to toxic effects after cutaneous
exposure to nicotine. *Clin Pharmacol Therapeutics* 1987;42:119-
220.
- Kanski J, Aksenova M, Stoyanova A, Butterfield DA. Ferulic
acid antioxidant protection against hydroxyl and peroxy radical
oxidation in synaptosomal and neuronal cell culture systems in
vitro: structure-activity studies. *J Nutr Biochem* 2002;13:273-81.
- Zhang Z, Wei T, Hou J, Li G, Yu S, Xin W. Ion- induced damage
and apoptosis in cerebellar granule cells: attenuation by
tetramethylpyrazine and ferulic acid. *Eur J Pharmacol*
2003;467:41-7.
- Blough NV, Zafiriou N. Reaction of superoxide with nitric oxide
to form peroxynitrite in alkaline aqueous solution. *Inorg Chem*
1995;24:3502-5.
- Pogun S, Demireoren S, Taskiran D, Kanit L, Yilmaz O, Koçlu
EO, Balkan B, London ED. Nicotine modulates nitric oxide in
brain. *Euro Neuropsychopharmacol* 2000;10:463-72.
- Rosecrans JA, Karan LD. Neurobehavioral mechanisms of nicot-
ine action: role in the initiation and maintenance of tobacco de-
pendence. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 1993;10:161-70.
- Pannala A.S., Razaq R., Halliwell B., Singh S., Rice-Evans
C.A.: Inhibition of peroxynitrite dependent tyrosine nitration by
hydroxycinnamates: nitration or electron donation? *Free Radic
Biol Med* 1998;24:594-606.
- Dalle-Donne I, Rossi R, Giustarini D, Milazani A, Colombo R.
Protein carbonyl groups as biomarker of oxidative stress. *Clin
Chim Acta* 2003;329:23-38.
- Berlett BS, Stadman ER. Protein oxidation in aging, disease and
oxidative stress. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272:20313-6.
- Kanski J, Aksenova M, Stoyanova A, Butterfield DA. Ferulic
acid antioxidant protection against hydroxyl and peroxy radical
oxidation in synaptosomal and neuronal cell culture systems in
vitro: structure-activity studies. *J Nutr Biochem* 2002;13:273-
81.
- Kikuzaki H, Hisamoto M, Hirose K, Akiyama K, Taniguchi H.
Antioxidant properties of ferulic acid and its related compounds.
J Agric Food Chem 2002;50:2161-8.
- Husain K, Scott BR, Reddy SK, Somani SM. Chronic ethanol
and nicotine interaction on rat tissue antioxidant defense system.
Alcohol 2002;25:89-97.
- Ashakumary L, Vijayammal PI. Additive effect of alcohol and
nicotine on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant defense mecha-
nism in rats. *J Applied Toxicol* 1996;16: 305-8.
- Cantin AM, Hubbard RC, Crystal RG. Glutathione deficiency in
the epithelial lining fluid of the lower respiratory tract in idi-
opathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1989;139:370-2.
- Castelluccio GP, Bolwell CG, Gerrish C, Rice-Evans CA. Dif-
ferential distribution of ferulic acid to the major plasma constitu-
ents in relation to its potential as an antioxidant. *Biochemistry*
1996;316:691-4.
- Zheng RL, Zhang H. Effects of ferulic acid on fertile and as-
thenozoospermic infertile human sperm motility, viability, lipid
peroxidation, and cyclic nucleotides. *Free Radic Biol Med*
1997;22:581-6.

CURRENT AUTHOR ADDRESSES

Adluri Ram Sudheer, Ph. D. Research Scholar, Dept. of Biochemistry
and Biotechnology, Annamalai University, India. E-mail:
biosudheer99@yahoo.co.in (Corresponding author)

Marimuthu Srinivasan, Ph. D Research Scholar, Dept. of Biochemistry
and Biotechnology, Annamalai University, India.

Nagarajan Devipriya, Ph.D Research Scholar, Dept. of Biochemistry
and Biotechnology, Annamalai University, India.

561 Venugopal Padmanabhan Menon, Dean, Faculty of Science, Prof and
562 Head, Dept. of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Annamalai Uni-
563 versity, India.

UNCORRECTED PROOF