

Simultaneous Quantitation of Theophylline and Guaifenesin in Syrup by HPLC, Derivative and Derivative Ratio Spectrophotometry for Quality Control Purposes

MEHDI ANSARI, MARYAM KAZEMIPOUR, MOHAMMAD SHAHRIAR

For author affiliations, see end of text.

Received November 26, 2005; Revised July 29, 2006; Accepted August 6, 2006

This paper is available online at <http://ijpt.iums.ac.ir>

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present work was to develop a simple and rapid method for determination of theophylline (THP) and guaifenesin (GU) in syrup without involving any preparation operations like separation or masking. A HPLC and two spectrophotometric methods based on the derivation of the main spectra are described for the determination of THP and GU in combined pharmaceutical syrup form. The first derivative method can be applied only for THP and depends on the use of the second-order derivative spectra of the absorption spectrum of binary mixtures. The second derivative amplitudes at 291.6 nm were selected for the assay of THP. The second method is based on the use of the first derivative of the ratio-spectra obtained by dividing the absorption spectrum of binary mixtures by a standard spectrum of one of the compounds. The first derivative amplitudes at 286.3 and 231.7 nm were selected for the assay of THP and GU, respectively. Calibration curves were established at 5–40 µg/mL for GU and 20–70 µg/mL for THP. The proposed method was accurate with at least 99.5% recovery values and precise with coefficient of variation (CV) of 1.7% and 5.3% for THP and GU, respectively. Also a modified USP HPLC method was applied for determination of these two compounds in syrup. The results obtained by HPLC and derivative spectrophotometric methods applied to the same samples were compared together with selecting HPLC as a reference method for the developed spectrophotometric methods. The HPLC method was based on isocratic reversed-phase liquid chromatography by using C18 column with methanol: water (40:60, v/v) (pH 3.0) as mobile phase. Caffeine was used as an internal standard (IS) and the substances were detected at 280 nm. Calibration solutions used in HPLC were in the same range as spectrophotometric method and the detection and quantitation limits were found to be 6.9 and 20.1 µg/mL for THP and 3.7 and 11.1 µg/mL for GU, respectively. The proposed methods were successfully applied to the determination of the drugs in synthetic mixtures and commercially available syrup with a high recovery, good accuracy and precision.

Keywords: *Theophylline, Guaifenesin, HPLC, Derivative, Derivative Ratio, Spectrophotometry*

THP, a member of xanthine based alkaloids, which relaxes smooth muscles and relieves bronchospasm, has a stimulant effect on respiration. GU, a mucolytic agent, is used as an expectorant. The use of the mixture of THP and GU in the symptomatic treatment of bronchial asthma and other bronchospastic conditions is well established in pharmaceutical formulations [1]. Theophylline G syrup contains 50mg THP and 30 mg GU in each 5 mL. THP and GU are the subject of monographs in both the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) [2] and the British Pharmacopoeia (BP) [3] in which these drugs

were determined by HPLC methods. Several other methods in research articles have also been reported for the analysis of THP and GU either in pure or in pharmaceutical forms as well as in biological fluids and tissues, such as HPLC [4, 5] and capillary electrophoresis [6], but there is not any simple spectrophotometric method which deals with the determination of THP and GU in the presence of each other. Derivative spectrophotometry (DS) has been widely used as a tool for quantitative analysis, characterization, and quality control in agricultural, pharmaceutical, and biomedical

Table 1. Validation parameters of proposed methods for analysis of THP in synthetic binary mixtures and results of application of the method for THP analysis of Theophylline G syrup

Validation Parameters	2nd DS	Zero DRS	First DRS	Second DRS	HPLC Method
Wavelength (nm)	291.6	268.3	286.3	291.9	280
Linearity ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	20 - 70	20 - 60	20-70	20-70	50-300
Calibration curve equation	$D_2=0.0301X+0.05$ 37 ($r^2 = 0.9995$)	$A = 0.0456X-$ 0.0683 ($r^2 = 0.9998$)	$D_1=0.0274X+0.142$ 7 ($r^2 = 0.9931$)	$D_2=0.0277X-$ 0.0593 ($r^2 = 0.9992$)	$Y=0.0231X-0.3824$ ($r^2 = 0.9993$)
Intra day RSD%	1.5	3.8	1.5	3.2	1.06
Inter day RSD%	3.5	4.3	1.7	3.6	0.16
Interference%	3.9	5.4	5.2	4.1	No interference
LOD ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	1.8	2.3	5.2	3.2	6.9
LOQ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	1.9	2.7	5.5	3.8	20.9
Recovery Percent	99.9	99.8	99.5	94.7	99.4
Real syrup analysis (percentage of content)	105.5	98.7	105.6	94.8	100.2


Table 2. Validation parameters of proposed methods for analysis of GU in synthetic binary mixtures and results of application of the method for GU analysis of Theophylline G syrup

Validation Parameters	Zero DRS	First DRS	Second DRS	HPLC Method
Wavelength (nm)	222.8	231.7	237.4	280
Linearity ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	5 - 30	5 - 30	5-40	30-270
Calibration curve equation	$A = 0.0322X - 0.0514$ ($r^2 = 0.9988$)	$D1 = 0.0252X - 0.0110$ ($r^2 = 0.9993$)	$D = 0.0267X - 0.0246$ ($r^2 = 0.9998$)	$Y = 0.032X - 0.0255$ ($r^2 = 0.9992$)
Intra day RSD%	4.3	3.9	4.8	1.7
Inter day RSD%	4.8	5.2	5.8	2.3
Interference%	2.1	5.3	5.9	No Interference
LOD ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	0.6	0.5	0.7	3.7
LOQ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)	1.8	1.5	2.1	11.1
Recovery Percent	97.4	99.6	96.2	101.2
Real syrup analysis (Percentage of content)	98.1	94.4	96.4	99.4

fields [7-10]. Although many chromatographic methods exist for assaying both drugs [11-14], in this study derivative and derivative ratio spectrophotometric (DRS) method were proposed for simultaneous determination of both drugs in liquid or solid dosage forms. The fundamental principles and convention of derivative spectrophotometry, and the different kinds of measurements, e.g. zero-crossing [15, 16] and ratio-spectra [17, 18], are described in literature, hence it would be superfluous to report a detailed account of the techniques used. The spectrophotometric methods were compared with the HPLC method (as a comparison method) to develop a simple, accurate, reliable and cost benefit method for simultaneous determination of THP and GU in syrup.

Chemicals

THP, GU, and caffeine pharmaceutical grade were kindly donated by Daru Pakhsh Pharmaceutical Co.,

Fig 1. Normal spectra of (a) GU 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, (b) THP 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, and (c) mixture of THP and GU.

Iran. Analytical grade sodium hydroxide, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and HPLC grade methanol were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). In all steps double distilled water was used. Theophylline G syrup manufactured by Razak pharmaceutical Co., Lot No. 8226033, and exp date: 01.2007.

Apparatus

A Perkins-Elmer Lambda 25 double beam spectrophotometer with a fixed slit width (2 nm) connected to a PC computer running UV- Win Lab Software was used for all the absorbance measurements and treatment of data.

The HPLC equipment consisting of a Knauer model K-1001 pump, with a Knauer model K-2600 UV-detector and a Knauer model K-1500 solvent organizer connected to a computer running Eurochrom 2000 Software.

Calibration Graphs

Standard stock solutions of THP and GU (100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) were prepared by weighing accurately 10.0 mg each of THP and GU, and dissolving in water. Working standard of THP with concentration of 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and GU with concentration of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ were prepared for second-order derivation method. In DRS method, appropriate volumes aliquots of the stock solution were transferred to 25 mL calibrated flasks. Accurate volumes were transferred into two sets of 25 mL calibrated flasks. Both sets were diluted to volume with water. The first series contained a constant concentration of GU (30.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) and




Fig 2. Second order derivative spectra of different concentrations of THP and GU.




Fig 3. Ratio spectra of varying concentrations of THP with constant concentration of GU vs. fixed concentration of GU.

a varying concentration of THP (20.0–70.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). The second, contained a constant concentration of THP (50.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) and a varying concentration of GU (5.0 – 40.0 $\mu\text{g/mL}$).

Sample Preparation

A 5 mL syrup (from Theophylline G Syrup), was taken and dissolved in water in 100 mL calibrated flasks. The solution was diluted 1:10 with water.

Spectrophotometric Measurements

Derivative Spectrophotometry. The normal spectra of pure drugs and binary mixtures were recorded from 200.0 to 400.0 nm. A second derivative spectrum of each of the curves was subsequently recorded. This method is only applicable for THP in THP and GU binary mixtures. The absolute values (peak to zero line) for D_2 were measured at the selected wavelength.

Ratio spectra and derivative ratio method. In DRS method, binary mixture solutions of THP were

prepared to contain 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of THP and 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of GU. Binary mixture solutions of GU were prepared to contain 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 40 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of GU and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of THP. In binary mixture solutions, constant concentration of 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for GU in different solutions of THP and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ of THP for different solutions of GU were selected based on their concentration in syrup. According to the theory [19], the absorption spectrum of the mixture was divided, wavelength by wavelength, relative to a standard spectrum of GU (GU 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) for determining THP and relative to a standard spectrum of THP (THP 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) for determining GU. Afterward, the first- and second-derivative of the above ratio-spectra were recorded and the values of derivatives were measured at suitable selected wavelengths. Order of derivation and wavelength for quantitative analysis were chosen on the basis of least interference and proper sensitivity, accuracy and reproducibility.

HPLC Method. Mobile phase, HPLC conditions,




Fig 4. (a) First and (b) second order derivative ratio spectra of THP.

standard preparation, assay preparation, and calculations were made in accordance to USP 28 procedure (2) except in cases mentioned in the text. The chromatograms were plotted and stored in the computer. The detector responses were measured in terms of peak area.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of THP by Second Order DS

The zero-order spectra of both analytes (Fig 1), using water as solvent, show that none of these drugs could be determined directly in the binary mixtures by spectrophotometry because their spectra are

totally overlapped by each other. However, because of overlapping of spectra even in DS, it is not possible to determine GU in mixtures by simple DS, whereas determination of THP in the presence of GU by DS is feasible. To develop a sensitive second DS method, the final decision of using second-order of derivation (Fig 2) was based on sensitivity, interference, ease of preparation, suitability for drug content estimation, and cost in that order.

Second derivative spectra ($\Delta\lambda=10\text{nm}$) could be used for the determination of THP. In the second DS, THP shows a well-defined peak at 291.6 nm. Linearity between D_2 and concentration of THP around the target concentration of 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ was studied and the results were shown in Table 1. As can be seen, the method has good linearity in the concentration range. Beside of this, the method was validated regarding to intra- and inter-day precision, selectivity by measuring the percent of interference, limit of detection (LOD) and quantitation (LOQ), and recovery. The validation results were summarized in Table 1 (column 1). RSD% for both the intra- and inter-day was lower than 3.5%. The LOD was 1.8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ while LOQ was 1.9 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The method was successfully applied to the determination of THP in laboratory prepared mixtures and commercial syrup. The results obtained show the high reliability and reproducibility of the method.




Fig 5. Ratio spectra of different concentrations of GU with constant concentration of THP vs. fixed concentration of THP.

Analysis of THP by DRS Method

As shown earlier, the absorption spectra of the two components are strongly overlapped, that the application of the derivative technique failed to resolve it. This spectral overlapping was sufficient enough to conduct a derivative ratio spectroscopic method. For the determination of THP, the absorption spectra of standard solutions of THP were divided (amplitude by amplitude at wavelengths) by absorption spectrum of a standard solution of 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ GU to obtain the corresponding ratio spectra (Fig 3).

Then the first and second order derivatives of the obtained ratio spectra were calculated with the software (Fig 4a and Fig 4b, respectively).

From these figures, it can be seen that THP can be determined in the mixtures by measuring the amplitude at 268.3, 286.3, and 291.9nm in zero, first, and second order of derivation of ratio spectra, respectively.

The influence of $\Delta\lambda$ for obtaining the first and second derivative of the ratio spectra was tested to obtain the optimum wavelength interval; $\Delta\lambda=10\text{ nm}$ was considered as suitable. The effect of the divisor concentration on the calibration graphs was studied. The results obtained from this study indicated that the divisor concentration has no effect on the assay. Interference of GU in binary mixtures for determination of THP in zero to second derivation of ratio spectra was lower than 5.2%.

Recovery percent by zero, first and second order of derivation were 99.8, 99.5, and 94.7% respectively. RSD% for intra- and inter-day precision of all derivations was lower than 4.5%. Limit of detection and quantitation of the methods were 5.2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 5.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. Validation parameters for derivative ratio spectra were given in Table 1. There isn't any significant statistical difference between methods regarding to parameters given in the table. These results show that the first order derivative of ratio spectra method have better linearity in the target concentration range and recovery than the others. Therefore this method is preferred for determination of THP in binary mixtures. The ratio spectra method was applied for determination of THP in real sample of Theophylline G syrup. Results obtained showed that the amount of THP is in the predetermined range (90 -110% label amount). The first order derivative ratio spectrophotometric method have reasonable reliability, cost benefit, speed of analysis, and ease of operation for analyzing of THP in comparison to HPLC method.

Analysis of GU by DRS

Because of complete overlapping of GU spectrum by THP (Fig 1), it can not be determined in the presence of THP by direct UV- and derivative UV-spectrophotometry, whereas in case of assay of GU in binary mixture, it was observed that the ratio spectrum eliminates the influence of the presence of THP in its range of concentration. Ratio spectrum of GU was shown in Fig 5.




Fig 6. (a) First and (b) second order derivative ratio spectra of GU.

1st and 2nd derivative of this spectrum were shown in Fig 6a and Fig 6b, respectively. The validation of the procedure was examined via evaluation of the limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantitation (LOQ), repeatability and recovery. All the validation parameters are given in Table 2 for DRS and HPLC methods. Results showed that first order derivation of ratio spectra have acceptable criteria compared to HPLC.

Analysis of THP and GU by HPLC

Determination of THP and GU were also conducted by a modified reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic method to validate the spectrophotometric methods. Fig 7 represents typical chromatogram obtained from the analysis of standard THP, GU, and caffeine as internal standard. As shown in this figure, standard solutions were eluted, forming well-shaped, symmetrical peak, and well separated from each other and the solvent front. Therefore, no additional extractions or separations were required. High correlation coefficient value (Table 1) and low standard deviation (Table 2) proved that HPLC method was precise and accurate. In addition, relatively high recovery value, 99.4-101.2% (Last Columns of Table 1 and Table 2), was obtained. Lastly, results obtained by these three

methods for the determination of THP and GU in syrup were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (last rows of Table 1 and Table 2) and there was no significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) between the results. According to the statistical comparison (Student t-test) of the results there is no significant difference between first order derivative spectrophotometric and HPLC methods. Furthermore, the performance of first order derivative spectrophotometric and HPLC methods was judged by F- values. The calculated value of F-test was less than the table value at 95% confidence levels which indicated that the developed methods were not significantly different in terms of precision. This suggested that the three methods were equally applicable.

DISCUSSION

We cannot exclude that HPLC, would give good results. Unfortunately, the above technique generally need sophisticated and more expensive instrumentation compared to spectrophotometry, which offers the chance of using instrumentation commonly available in all research and analysis laboratories. Furthermore, the proposed methods did not require the elaborate treatment and procedures, which are usually associated with




Fig 7. (a) Chromatograms of THP, caffeine, and GU separately and (b) all together.

chromatographic methods. Hence they are generally fast and economical in comparison to the more time-consuming chromatographic techniques, often used for the assay of formulations. As concerns the choice of THP and GU, we emphasize that these drugs have been selected for their importance in therapeutic field and the potential toxic effects. For the above reasons, coupled with analysis time, ease of operation, and widespread availability of commercial instruments with derivative capability, the described procedures offer a distinct advantage over other techniques and confirm their suitability for routine analysis of mixtures of THP and GU and for control purposes of pharmaceutical dosage forms for these drugs. THP can easily be determined either, by second order DS or DRS method in the presence of GU. In contrary, GU can not be directly determined spectrophotometrically, but DRS method is the method of the choice for its estimation in the presence of THP. Results of spectrophotometric method indicate that these methods are reliable in comparison to official USP HPLC method.

REFERENCES

1. Hardman JG, Limbird LE, Gilman AG. Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, tenth ed. McGraw-Hill Professional, NY, 2001.
2. USP28-NF23, the United States Pharmacopoeial Convention, Inc., Twin brook Parkway, 2005.
3. BP 2004, the Stationary Office, London, 2004.
4. Indrayanto G, Sunarto A, Adriani Y. Simultaneous assay of phenylpropanolamine hydrochloride, caffeine, paracetamol, glycerylguaiacolate and chlorpheniramine in Silabat™ tablet using HPLC with diode array detection. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 1995;13:1555-9.
5. Nakashima K, Inoue K, Mayahara K, Kuroda N, Hamachi Y, Akiyama S. Use of 3-(1,8-naphthalimido) propyl-modified silyl silica gel as a stationary phase for the high-performance liquid chromatographic separation of purine derivatives. *J Chromatogr A.* 1996;722:107-13.
6. Vogt C, Conradi S, Rohde E. Determination of caffeine and other purine compounds in food and pharmaceuticals by micellar electrokinetic chromatography. *J Chem Educ.* 1997;74:1126-30.
7. Ni Y, Zhang G, Kokot S. Simultaneous spectrophotometric determination of maltol, ethyl maltol, vanillin and ethyl vanillin in foods by multivariate calibration and artificial neural networks. *Food Chemistry.* 2005;89: 465-73.
8. Morelli B. Derivative spectrophotometry in the analysis of mixtures of cefotaxime sodium and cefadroxil monohydrate. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2003;32:257-67.
9. Kazemipour M, Noroozian E, Tehrani MS, Mahmoudian M, A new second-derivative spectrophotometric method for the determination of permethrin in shampoo. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2002;30:1379-84.
10. Yılmaz B, Öztürk M, Kadiolu Y. Comparison of two derivative spectrophotometric methods for the determination of α -tocopherol in pharmaceutical preparations. *Il Farmaco.* 2004;59:723-7.
11. Oliveira EJ, Watson DG, Morton NS. A simple microanalytical technique for the determination of paracetamol and its main metabolites in blood spots *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2002;29:803-9.
12. Rasmussen BB, Brosen K. Determination of theophylline and its metabolites in human urine and plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J.Chromatogr B.* 1996;676:169-74.
13. Schreiber-Deturmeny E, Bruguerolle B. Simultaneous high-performance liquid chromatographic determination of caffeine and theophylline for routine drug monitoring in human plasma. *J Chromatogr B.* 1996;677:305-12.
14. Gaillard Y, Pépin G. Use of high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode-array UV detection for the creation of a 600-compound library. Application to forensic toxicology. *J Chromatogr A.* 1997;763:149-63.
15. Stavchansky S, Demirbas S, Reyderman L, Chai CK. Simultaneous determination of dextromethorphan and guaifenesin in human plasma by liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 1995;13:919-25.
16. Dinc E, Kdil GK, Onur F. Derivative ratio spectra-zero crossing spectrophotometry and LC method applied to the quantitative determination of paracetamol, propyphenazone and caffeine in ternary mixtures. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2001;26:769-78.
17. Karpinski J, Mularczyk B. The analysis of the zero-order and the second derivative spectra of retinol acetate, tocopherol acetate and coenzyme Q10 and estimation of their analytical usefulness for their simultaneous determination in synthetic mixtures and pharmaceuticals. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A.* 2004;60:2189-94.
18. Dinc E. A comparative study of the ratio spectra derivative spectrophotometry, Vierordt's method and high-performance liquid chromatography applied to the simultaneous analysis of caffeine and paracetamol in tablets. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 1999;21:723-30.
19. Mahgoub H, Gazy AA, El-Yazbi FA, El-Sayed MA, Youssef RM. Spectrophotometric determination of binary mixtures of pseudoephedrine with some histamine H1-receptor antagonists using derivative ratio spectrum method. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2003;31:801-9.

CURRENT AUTHOR ADDRESSES

Mehdi Ansari, Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kerman Medical Sciences University, Kerman, Iran, Email: mansari@kmu.ac.ir, Fax: +98 (341) 3220799 (Corresponding Author).

Maryam Kazemipour, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Kerman Azad University, Kerman, Iran.

Mohammad Shahriar, Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kerman Medical Sciences University, Kerman, Iran.